

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: GONMISSIONER FOR PATENTS H.O. Jox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,941	02/22/2002	Ferdinand Hendriks	YOR920010731US1(15160)	YOR920010731US1(15160) 4472	
7:	590 02/09/2006	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER			DOAN, DU	DOAN, DUYEN MY	
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530  ART UNIT 2143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2143		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/081,941	HENDRIKS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duyen M. Doan	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:		1			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary		
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	1	4	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13.	Wm. C. Vans	24			
	WILLIAM C VALIGHM ID	`\			

**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As regard applicant argument "The prior art does not teach or suggest a method for annotating messages for communication within an interconnected network of computers, where the method includes inputting handwritten stroke information message objects into a message anywhere within the recording to thereby annotate the message where the messaging service distribute the annotated message in the recording to other users" Examiner disagrees, Sun discloses using the handwriting or ink data in the chat messaging system and also provide the benefit of why he would want to use ink data in the chat messaging system (see Sun pg.1, par 0007). Becker discloses a chat messaging method where user can input text or image into the recording text record. "The method for annotating messages" is in the preamble, therefore giving no patentable weight. "to thereby annotate the message" this "thereby" clause merely states the inherent result of the limitation in the claim adds nothing to the patentability or substance of the claim (see Texas Instruments Inc. v. International Trade Commission, 26 USPQ2d 1018 (Feb.Cir.1993); Griffin v. Bertina, 62 USPQ2d 1431 (Feb. Cir. 2002); Amazon.com Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com Inc., 57 USPQ2d 1747 (Feb.Cir.2001)). The "thereby annotating the message" is the inherent results of "inputting handwritten stroke information message", therefore given no patentable weight to the limitation.

As regard applicant second argument "Nothing is taught or suggested in Sun regarding entering ink (stroke information) directly into an ongoing instant message or chat session (via the instant messaging or chat window) for annotating a message for immediate viewing by other users". This argument is invalid because the limitation that the applicant argues is not in the claim, "entering ink directly into an ongoing instant message, and immediately view by other users". Sun discloses the method of using handwritten data in the Chat messaging system (see Sun pg.1, par 0007).

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER